

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,909	01/17/2002	Timothy G. Helentjaris	BB1163 US CIP	3323
23906	7590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER			ROBINSON, HOPE A	
	ILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANC	ASTER PIKE		1653	••
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_	10/051,909	HELENTJARIS, TIMOTHY G.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hope A. Robinson	1653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondenc address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a largely within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	7 December 2003		
,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims	o. In pano Quayro, 1000 o.i.		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5 and 7-10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers	a/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con-	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Examiner. Note the attached	of Office Action of Ionn P10-132.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed. 2. Certified copies of the priority documed. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed. * See the attached detailed Office action for a least since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the great service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. e first sentence of the specific provisional application has b estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s)	∧ □	Cummon (DTO 442) Pages No (-)	
1)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/051,909

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in is acknowledged.

Claim Disposition

2. Claims 12-19 have been canceled. Claims 1-11 are pending. Claims 1-10 are under examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed on May 2, 2002 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP 609 because the items listed on the information disclosure statement are not provided in the application. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. A line has been drawn through all items on page 2 of the information disclosure statement.

Claim Objection

4. Claims 3-5 and 7-10 are objected to as the claims depend from a rejected based claim.

Basis For NonStatutory Double Patenting

Application/Control Number: 10/051,909

· - - -

Art Unit: 1653

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of copending Application No. 10/051,902. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are directed to an isolated polynucleotide comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding a polypeptide having a sugar transport protein activity wherein the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide and the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:32 or 36 have at least 80% sequence identity or the

Art Unit: 1653

complement of the nucleotide sequence. The copending application is directed to an isolated nucleic acid fragment encoding all or a substantial portion of a sugar transport protein comprising an isolated nucleic acid fragment encoding all or a substantial portion of the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2, for example, and a nucleic acid fragment that is complementary to the above. The sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2 of the copending application is 86.3% identical to the sequence contained in SEQ ID NO:32 of the instant application encoded by SEQ ID NO: 1 and 31 respectively, (see claim 2 of the copending application and claim 6 of the instant application). Therefore, the disclosure in the copending application makes obvious the claimed invention in the instant application. Although the scope of the claims herein differs, the two sets of claims are directed to similar inventions since the language in the claim is similar. Thus, the instant application claim is an obvious variation of the copending application claim.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

7. No claims are presently allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hope Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 6:30 pm (EST).

Art Unit: 1653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S.F. Low, can be reached at (571) 272-0951.

Any inquiries of a general nature relating to this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. The official fax phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-2742. Please affix the examiner's name on a cover sheet attached to your communication should you choose to fax your response. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989).

Hope Robinson, MS

Patent Examiner

PRIMARY EXAMINED